



Publications

6-2015

Barotropic FRW Cosmologies with Chiellini Damping in Comoving Time

Haret C. Rosu

Instituto Potosino de Investigacion Cientifica y Tecnologica

Stefan C. Mancas

Embry-Riddle Aeronautical University, mancass@erau.edu

Pisin Chen

National Taiwan University

Follow this and additional works at: <https://commons.erau.edu/publication>

 Part of the [Physical Sciences and Mathematics Commons](#)

Scholarly Commons Citation

Rosu, H. C., Mancas, S. C., & Chen, P. (2015). Barotropic FRW Cosmologies with Chiellini Damping in Comoving Time. *Modern Physics Letters A*, 30(20). <https://doi.org/10.1142/S021773231550100x>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by Scholarly Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Publications by an authorized administrator of Scholarly Commons. For more information, please contact commons@erau.edu.

Barotropic FRW cosmologies with Chiellini damping in comoving time

Haret C. Rosu*

*IPICyT, Instituto Potosino de Investigacion Cientifica y Tecnologica,
Camino a la presa San José 2055, Col. Lomas 4a Sección, 78216 San Luis Potosí, S.L.P., Mexico*

Stefan C. Mancas†

*Department of Mathematics,
Embry-Riddle Aeronautical University,
Daytona Beach, FL. 32114-3900, U.S.A.*

Pisin Chen‡

*Leung Center for Cosmology and Particle Astrophysics (LeCosPA) and Department of Physics,
National Taiwan University, Taipei 10617, Taiwan*

For non-zero cosmological constant Λ , we show that the barotropic FRW cosmologies as worked out in the comoving time lead in the radiation-dominated case to scale factors of identical form as for the Chiellini dissipative scale factors in conformal time obtained recently by us in Phys. Lett. A 379 (2015) 882-887. This is due to the Ermakov equation which is obtained in this case. For zero cosmological constant, several textbook solutions are provided as particular cases of $\Lambda \neq 0$.

Keywords: barotropic fluid; FRW cosmology; cosmological constant.

1. Parametric solutions of the barotropic FRW equations for non-zero cosmological constant

Barotropic fluids continue to be of interest at the frontier of cosmology. In the past few years, they have been used as illustrative minimal models in the challenging problem of the dark sector of the Universe [1], and currently they are considered as a viable partial solution for the late acceleration of the Universe due to their rapid fading to a de Sitter phase [2].

In this Letter, we are concerned with the solutions of the Einstein-Friedmann dynamical equations of barotropic FRW cosmologies with a cosmological constant Λ for the scale factor of the universe, $a(t)$, with $\dot{} = d/dt$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} &= -\frac{4\pi G}{3}(\rho + 3p) + \frac{\Lambda}{3} \\ H^2 &= \left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\right)^2 = \frac{8\pi G\rho}{3} - \frac{\kappa}{a^2} + \frac{\Lambda}{3},\end{aligned}\tag{1}$$

where κ is the curvature of the universe, which is $0, \pm 1$, if the universe is flat, closed or open, respectively, ρ , p are the energy density and pressure, t is the comoving time, G is the universal gravitational constant, Λ is Einstein's cosmological constant which is related to the pressure of the vacuum, and H is the Hubble expansion parameter. Since for a barotropic fluid the equation of state is

$$p = (\gamma - 1)\rho,\tag{2}$$

then the FRW equations can be decoupled and yield to

$$a\ddot{a} + \bar{\gamma}\dot{a}^2 + \bar{\gamma}\kappa = \frac{\Lambda}{3}(\bar{\gamma} + 1)a^2,\tag{3}$$

where $\bar{\gamma} = \frac{3}{2}\gamma - 1 \neq 0$.

Let us introduce the transformation

$$\dot{a}^2 = z(a(t))\tag{4}$$

then z satisfies the linear equation

$$\frac{dz}{da} + \frac{2\bar{\gamma}}{a}z = \frac{2\Lambda}{3}(\bar{\gamma} + 1)a - \frac{2\bar{\gamma}\kappa}{a}.\tag{5}$$

*Electronic address: hcr@ipicyt.edu.mx

†Electronic address: stefan.mancas@erau.edu

‡Electronic address: pisinchen@phys.ntu.edu.tw

By one quadrature with positive initial conditions we have

$$\begin{aligned} z(a) &= C_1 a^{-2\bar{\gamma}} - \kappa + \frac{\Lambda}{3} a^2, & \text{if } \bar{\gamma} \neq -1 \\ z(a) &= C_2 a^2 - \kappa, & \text{if } \bar{\gamma} = -1 \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

and by using equation (4) we obtain the following parametric solutions

$$\begin{aligned} t - t_0 &= \int \frac{da}{\sqrt{C_1 a^{-2\bar{\gamma}} - \kappa + \frac{\Lambda}{3} a^2}}, & \text{if } \bar{\gamma} \neq -1 \\ t - t_0 &= \int \frac{da}{\sqrt{C_2 a^2 - \kappa}}, & \text{if } \bar{\gamma} = -1. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

In addition, since $z(a)$ is known from (6), we substitute it back into (3), and using (4) we obtain the equation of motion for the scale parameter in which the curvature of the universe does not occur explicitly

$$\begin{aligned} \ddot{a} - \frac{\Lambda}{3} a &= -C_1 \bar{\gamma} a^{-(2\bar{\gamma}+1)}, & \text{if } \bar{\gamma} \neq -1 \\ \ddot{a} - C_2 a &= 0, & \text{if } \bar{\gamma} = -1. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

2. The radiation-dominated case, $\bar{\gamma} = 1$

Interestingly enough, for the universe dominated by radiation one may recognize (8) as the following Ermakov's equation

$$\ddot{a} - \frac{\Lambda}{3} a = -C_1 a^{-3}. \quad (9)$$

From this equation, by multiplication with \dot{a} and one integration, one can obtain

$$t - t_0 = \int \frac{a da}{\sqrt{\frac{\Lambda}{3} a^4 - \kappa a^2 + C_1}}, \quad (10)$$

which is the solution given in the first line of (7) in the case of radiation.

$\kappa = \pm 1$. The solutions of the above integral depend on the sign of the discriminant $\Delta \equiv \kappa^2 - \frac{4C_1\Lambda}{3} = 1 - \frac{4C_1\Lambda}{3}$, and are shown in Table I.

	$\kappa = -1$ (top signs and pair of signs in the main square root)
	$\kappa = 1$ (bottom signs and pair of signs in the main square root)
$\bar{\gamma} = 1$	$a(t) = \pm \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\lambda} \sqrt{\mp 1 \pm \sqrt{-\Delta} \sinh \sqrt{2}\lambda(t-t_0)} & : \Lambda > \frac{3}{4C_1} \\ \frac{1}{\lambda} \sqrt{\mp 1 \pm \lambda^2 e^{\pm \sqrt{2}\lambda(t-t_0)}} & : \Lambda = \frac{3}{4C_1} \\ \frac{1}{\lambda} \sqrt{\mp 1 \pm \sqrt{\Delta} \cosh \sqrt{2}\lambda(t-t_0)} & : 0 < \Lambda < \frac{3}{4C_1} \\ \frac{1}{\lambda} \sqrt{\pm 1 \mp \sqrt{\Delta} \sin \sqrt{2}\lambda(t-t_0)} & : \Lambda < 0 < \frac{3}{4C_1} \end{cases}$

TABLE I: Solutions for open and closed radiation-dominated universes, $\Lambda \neq 0$, $\lambda = \sqrt{\frac{|\Lambda|}{3}}$.

We notice that these solutions are similar to the recently introduced Chiellini dissipative scaling factors of barotropic FRW universes in conformal time because if we identify $-2\kappa\bar{\gamma}^2 \equiv \lambda^2$, $c_1 \equiv -\kappa$, and $2k \equiv C_1$ in the Chiellini-damped solutions given in [3] their discriminants turns into the discriminant in the non-zero cosmological constant cases discussed here. These analytical solutions are based on the Chiellini integrability condition for Abel equations of the first kind [4], which also describe the dynamics of FRW cosmologies filled with bulk viscous fluids [5, 6], as well as FRW universes filled with scalar fields [7].

$\kappa = 0$. In this case, we have

$$t - t_0 = \int \frac{a da}{\sqrt{\frac{\Lambda}{3} a^4 + C_1}}. \quad (11)$$

	$\kappa = 0$
$\bar{\gamma} = 1$	$a(t) = \pm \frac{\sqrt[4]{C_1}}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \begin{cases} \sqrt{\sinh 2\lambda(t-t_0)} & : \Lambda > 0 \\ \sqrt{\sin 2\lambda(t-t_0)} & : \Lambda < 0 \end{cases}$

TABLE II: Solutions for the flat radiation-dominated universe, $\Lambda \neq 0$, $\lambda = \sqrt{\frac{|\Lambda|}{3}}$.

The solutions of the above integral are given in Table II.

3. Cases of zero cosmological constant, $\Lambda = 0$

Zero cosmological constant cases can be treated as particular cases of the formulas (7). Let us denote the two integration constants from (7) as $C_1 = a_0^{2\bar{\gamma}}$, $C_2 = a_0^{-2}$, which mean that all solutions pass through $a(t_0) = a_0$, then the solutions of (7) are

$$\begin{aligned} a(t) &= a_0[1 + (\bar{\gamma} + 1)\frac{t-t_0}{a_0}]^{\frac{1}{\bar{\gamma}+1}}, \quad \text{if } \bar{\gamma} \neq -1, \kappa = 0 \\ a(t) &= a_0 e^{\frac{t-t_0}{a_0}}, \quad \text{if } \bar{\gamma} = -1, \kappa = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

The first two solutions are obtained easily by integrating equations (7), and they represent the restricted form of flat solution when $t_0 = \frac{a_0}{\bar{\gamma}+1}$ for which $a(t) = a_0(\frac{t}{t_0})^{\frac{1}{\bar{\gamma}+1}}$, in the first case, while for $t_0 = a_0 \ln a_0$, we obtain the de Sitter flat solution $a(t) = e^{\frac{t}{a_0}}$ in the second case.

For the three textbook cases of $\bar{\gamma} = 1$ (radiation), $\bar{\gamma} = \frac{1}{2}$ (dust), and $\bar{\gamma} = -1$ (vacuum), by solving (8) with $\Lambda = 0$ the solutions are presented in Tables III and IV.

$\bar{\gamma} = 1$	$a(t) = a_0 \sqrt{-1 + (1 + \frac{t-t_0}{a_0})^2}$
$\bar{\gamma} = \frac{1}{2}$	$\sqrt{A(t)}\sqrt{1+A(t)} - \text{arccosh}\sqrt{1+A(t)} = \frac{t-t_0}{a_0} + \sqrt{2} - \text{arccosh}\sqrt{2}$
$\bar{\gamma} = -1$	$a(t) = a_0 \sinh\left(\frac{t-t_0}{a_0} + \text{arcsinh}1\right)$

TABLE III: Solutions for $\kappa = -1$, $\Lambda = 0$, $A(t) = \frac{a(t)}{a_0}$.

$\bar{\gamma} = 1$	$a(t) = a_0 \sqrt{1 - (1 - \frac{t-t_0}{a_0})^2}$
$\bar{\gamma} = \frac{1}{2}$	$\sqrt{A(t)}\sqrt{1-A(t)} + \text{arcsin}\sqrt{1-A(t)} = \frac{t-t_0}{a_0}$
$\bar{\gamma} = -1$	$a(t) = a_0 \cosh\left(\frac{t-t_0}{a_0}\right)$

TABLE IV: Solutions for $\kappa = 1$, $\Lambda = 0$, $A(t) = \frac{a(t)}{a_0}$.

In the last case, $\bar{\gamma} \neq 0$, $\kappa \neq 0$, we will follow the substitution given by Lima [8], see also [9]

$$u(a) = \kappa A^{2\bar{\gamma}}, \quad (13)$$

where $A(t) = \frac{a(t)}{a_0}$, and differentiating $u(a)$ with respect to t we have

$$\dot{u} = 2\bar{\gamma}u \frac{\dot{a}}{a}. \quad (14)$$

By inverting equation (13) which will give a and finding \dot{a} from (4), we obtain the first order equation that gives $t(u)$ as the incomplete beta function

$$\frac{dt}{du} = Du^{\mu-1}(1-u)^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (15)$$

where $\mu = (\bar{\gamma} + 1)/2\bar{\gamma}$ and $D = a_0\kappa^{1/2\bar{\gamma}}/2\bar{\gamma}$. We differentiate again with respect to u and obtain the equation

$$u(1-u)\frac{d^2t}{du^2} + \left[(1-\mu) - \left(\frac{3}{2} - \mu \right) u \right] \frac{dt}{du} = 0, \quad (16)$$

which is the hypergeometric equation with coefficients $a = 0$, $b = \frac{1}{2} - \mu = -\frac{1}{2\bar{\gamma}}$, and $c = 1 - \mu = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2\bar{\gamma}}$.

The general solution of (16) is the linear combination

$$t(u) = \alpha {}_2F_1 \left(0, -\frac{1}{2\bar{\gamma}}; \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2\bar{\gamma}}; u \right) + \beta u^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2\bar{\gamma}}} {}_2F_1 \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2\bar{\gamma}}; \frac{3}{2} + \frac{1}{2\bar{\gamma}}; u \right). \quad (17)$$

However, according to formula 15.4.1 in [10], the first hypergeometric function is equal to unity. Thus, the general solution can be written in the form

$$t(u) = \alpha + \beta u^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2\bar{\gamma}}} {}_2F_1 \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2\bar{\gamma}}; \frac{3}{2} + \frac{1}{2\bar{\gamma}}; u \right). \quad (18)$$

It is convenient to pass to the complementary variable $1 - u$ in the above hypergeometric function using the formula 15.3.6 in [10]. Then, one obtains

$$t(u) = \alpha + \beta \sqrt{\pi} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2\bar{\gamma}} \right) u^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2\bar{\gamma}}} {}_2F_1 \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2\bar{\gamma}}, \frac{1}{2}; \frac{1}{2}; 1 - u \right) - 2\beta(1-u)^{\frac{1}{2}} u^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2\bar{\gamma}}} {}_2F_1 \left(1, \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2\bar{\gamma}}; \frac{3}{2}; 1 - u \right). \quad (19)$$

The first hypergeometric function in (19) can be shown to be $u^{-(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2\bar{\gamma}})}$, which leads to the final result

$$t(u) = t_0 - 2\beta(1-u)^{\frac{1}{2}} u^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2\bar{\gamma}}} {}_2F_1 \left(1, \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2\bar{\gamma}}; \frac{3}{2}; 1 - u \right), \quad (20)$$

where t_0 (the origin of time) depends on $\bar{\gamma}$

$$t_0 = \alpha + \beta \sqrt{\pi} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2\bar{\gamma}} \right). \quad (21)$$

By choosing carefully the integration constants α and β in (20), one can obtain the textbook solutions presented in Tables III and IV.

The general solution (20) is not valid when c is either equal to unity or any negative integer, i.e., when $\bar{\gamma} = 1/(2n+1)$, $n = -1, 1, 2, \dots$. In these cases, the general solution has been given in [9], see also [8], and involves the logarithmic solutions of the hypergeometric equation as the other linearly independent solution. This is due to degeneracy for $c = 1$ and meaningless of one of the hypergeometric series in all the other negative integer cases of c .

Acknowledgments

S.M. would like to acknowledge partial support from the Dean of Research & Graduate Studies at Embry-Riddle Aeronautical University. P.C. appreciates the supports from the Ministry of Science and Technology (MOST), Taiwan, under Grant 101-2923-M-002-006-MY3.

-
- [1] E.V. Linder, R.J. Scherrer, Aetherizing Lambda: Barotropic fluids as dark energy, Phys. Rev. D 80 (2009) 023008.
 - [2] J. Bielefeld, R.R. Caldwell, E.V. Linder, Dark energy scaling from dark matter to acceleration, Phys. Rev. D 90 (2014) 043015.
 - [3] H.C. Rosu, S.C. Mancas, P. Chen, Barotropic FRW cosmologies with Chiellini damping, Phys. Lett. A 379 (2015) 882-887.
 - [4] A. Chiellini, Sull'integrazione dell'equazione differenziale $y' + Py^2 + Qy^3 = 0$, Boll. Unione Mat. Italiana 10 (1931) 301-307.
 - [5] M.K. Mak, H.W. Chen, T. Harko, Solutions generating technique for Abel-type nonlinear ordinary differential equations, Computers Math. Applic. 41 (2001) 1395-1401.
 - [6] M.K. Mak, T. Harko, Full causal bulk-viscous cosmological models, J. Math. Phys. 39 (1998) 5458-5476.
 - [7] A.V. Yurov, V.A. Yurov, Friedman versus Abel equations: A connection unraveled, J. Math. Phys. 51 (2010) 082503.
 - [8] M.J.D. Assad, J. A. Sales de Lima, General and unified solution for perfect fluid homogeneous and isotropic cosmological models, Gen. Rel. Grav. 20 (1988) 527-538.
 - [9] N.K. N rlund, The logarithmic solutions of the hypergeometric equation, K. Dan. Vidensk. Selsk. Mat. Fys. Skr. 5 (1963) 1-58.
 - [10] M. Abramowicz, I. Stegun, Handbook of mathematical functions, 9th ed., Dover, New York, 1970.